THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P.K. THUNGON) : (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

SETTING UP OF INDUSTRIES IN LALITPUR, U.P.

235. SHRI RAJENDRA AGNIHOTRI : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) the number of districts which are not only industrially backward but also there is no industry, State-wise;

(b) the details of industrial units set up in Lalitpur district of Uttar Pradesh for the development of the district;

(c) the reasons for not declaring Lalitpur as a 'no industry district' ;

(d) whether the Government propose to set up any industrial unit in Lalitpur for the development of the district;

(e) if so, when it is likely to be set up and if not, the reasons therefor;

(f) whether Government contemplate any other Scheme in this regard; and

(g) if so, by what time it is likely to be considered ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF. P.J. KURIEN) : (a) A Statement showing the number of industrially backward districts including 'No Industry Districts' is attached.

(b) Two letters of intent, one each in the year 1987 and 1988, have been issued for setting up of industries in Lalitpur District.

(c) Only districts which did not have a single large or medium industry as per District Industries Action Plans for 1979-80 were declared as 'No Industry Districts'. As Lalitpur did not fulfil this criterion, it was not declared as a 'No Industry District'.

(d) to (g) Industrialisation of a particular district/area is primarily the responsibility of the State Government concerned. Central Investments are generally made in large industrial projects of a basic character, locations of which are decided on techno-economic considerations. At present, there is no proposal to set up any central project in Lalitpur.

STATEMENT

Number of Industrially Backward Districts, Statewise

Sl. No.	Name of States/Uts	Category 'A'		Category 'B'	Category 'C'
		No-Industry Districts	Special Region Districts		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1.	Andhra Pradesh	-	--	6	13
2.	Assam	2	8	—	—
3.	Bihar	6	—	5	6
4.	Gujarat	1	--	3	7
5.	Haryana	—	--	3	4
6.	Himachal Pradesh	5	7	—	—
7.	Jammu & Kashmir	7	7	—	—
8.	Karnataka	1	--	3	7
9.	Kerala	2	—	3	2
10.	Madhya Pradesh	18	--	4	19

(1) (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
11. Maharashtra	1	--	3	10
12. Manipur	6	...	---	---
13. Nagaland	1	6	—	—
14. Meghalaya	4	1	—	—
15. Orissa	3	—	5	—
16. Punjab	—	—	3	2
17. Rajasthan	4	—	5	7
18. Sikkim	4	....	-	—
19 Tamilnadu	—	—	3	9
20. Uttar Pradesh	11	4	5	21
21. West Bengal	5	--	3	5
22. Andaman & Nicobar Islands	1	1	. .	. .
23. Dadra & Nagar Havcli	1	...	—	--
24. Arunachal Pradesh	4	2	—	—
25. Goa, Daman & Diu	..	1	—	—
26. Lakshadweep	1	...	—	--
27. Tripura	3	-	---	---
28. Mizoram	2	...	—	—
29. Pondicherry	—	1	—	—

[*Translation*]

COMPLETION OF DELAYED CENTRAL PROJECTS

236. SHRI RAMASHRAY PRASAD SINGH : Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state :

(a) the details of various on-going Central Projects which could not be completed as per schedule according to the latest survey; and

(b) whether the Union Government have given some suggestions to complete these projects within the prescribed time ; if so, the details in this regard ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI H.R. BHARDWAJ) : (a) 181 central sector projects, each costing over Rs. 20 crores, are delayed with respect to the latest approved date of commissioning as on 31st March, 1991, as per monitoring system of Deptt. of Programme Implementation. The projects fall under different sectors as per details given below :

(i) Atomtic Energy	4
(ii) Coal	37
(iii) Fertilisers	1
(iv) Information & Broadcasting	5
(v) Steel & Iron Ore	5
(vi) Chemicals & Petrochemicals	3
(vii) Petroleum & Natural Gas	18
(viii) Power	25
(ix) Paper, Cement & Automobiles	6
(x) Railways	42
(xi) Surface Transport	25
(xii) Tele-communication	10
	181